PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference SN125		gent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA)				
International application No. 1 PCT/EP 03/00881			International filing date (day/mont 09.01.2003	h/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 15.01.2002		
B02C18	3 <i>1</i> 08, I	302C18/08	oth national classification and IPC				
DOW C	OHN	ING CORPORATION 6	et al.	7			
1. Thi Aut	s inter hority	national preliminary exar and is transmitted to the	nination report has been prepare applicant according to Article 36	ed by this Inter 3.	national Preliminary Examining		
2. Thi	s REP	ORT consists of a total o	of 5 sheets, including this cover	sheet.			
	nee	n amenueu anu are the p	nied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of pasis for this report and/or sheets 607 of the Administrative Instru	s containing rea	n, claims and/or drawings which have ctifications made before this Authority le PCT).		
The		nexes consist of a total of			,		
3. This	repoi	t contains indications rela	ating to the following items:				
1	\boxtimes	Basis of the opinion	•				
П		Priority					
Ш	\boxtimes	Non-establishment of o	pinion with regard to novelty, inv	entive step and	d industrial applicability		
· IV		Lack of unity of inventio		omito otop am	a moustrial applicability		
٧	⊠	Reasoned statement un		to novelty, inve	entive step or industrial applicability;		
VI		Certain documents cited	t				
VII		Certain defects in the in	ternational application				
VIII	· 🗆 .	Certain observations on	the international application				
Date of sub	missio	n of the demand	Date of co	mpletion of this	report		
26.06.2003			17.02.20	004			
lame and r reliminary	examir	address of the international ing authority:	Authorized	l Officer	(a) MEDICA MICELY		
European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465			epmu d Philpott,	G	Carried States		
			•	No. +49 89 239	9-8620		

Davies, Peter V. et al. DOW CORNING LIMITED Cardiff Road Barry CF63 2YL GRANDE BRETAGNE

19 FEB 2004

PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of mailing

(day/month/year)

17.02.2004

Applicant's or agent's file reference

SN125

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/00881

International filing date (day/month/year) 09.01.2003

Priority date (day/month/year)

15.01.2002

Applicant

DOW CORNING CORPORATION et al.

- The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 **Authorized Officer**

Kurzbauer, K

Tel. +49 89 2399-6020



PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

18.FEB 2004

WIPO	PC1	Г

<u>-</u>			
Applicant's or agent's file reference SN125	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Notification of Transmitta Preliminary Examination Repo	
International application No. PCT/EP 03/00881	International filing date (day/mortion) 09.01.2003	th/year) Priority date 15.01.200	(day/month/year) 2
International Patent Classification (IPC) or B02C18/08, B02C18/08	ooth national classification and IPC		
Applicant DOW CORNING CORPORATION	et al.	·:	·
This international preliminary example.	mination report has been prepa	red by this International Prel	iminary Examining
Authority and is transmitted to th	applicant according to Article	36.	···
		•	
2. This REPORT consists of a total	of 5 sheets, including this cove	r sheet.	-
☐ : This report is also accompanies been amended and are the (see Rule 70.16 and Section	anied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets basis for this report and/or shee n 607 of the Administrative Inst	ets containing rectifications n	d/or drawings which have nade before this Authority
These annexes consist of a total	of sheets.		. •
These annexes consist of a total	or oncolo.		
IV	opinion with regard to novelty, i tion under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regal tions supporting such statement	d to novelty, inventive step o	; ·
Date of submission of the demand	Date o	completion of this report	
26.06.2003		.2004	
Name and mailing address of the internation	nal Author	zed Officer	
preliminary examining authority: ,	, tulior		BEED INDES MODIFIES
European Patent Office D-80298 Munich	Philps	ott. G	
Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523 Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	356 epmu d	one No. +49 89 2399-8620	
	l eleph	UNG NU. 14 3 03 2383-002U	44000 A

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/00881

I.	Basis	of the	report
----	-------	--------	--------

1. With regard to the elements of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)): **Description, Pages** 1-10 as originally filed Claims, Numbers as originally filed **Drawings, Sheets** 1/2-2/2 as originally filed 2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is: the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)). the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3). 3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: contained in the international application in written form. filed together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished. The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: the description, pages: the claims, Nos.:

the drawings,

sheets:

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/00881

5.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).							
		(Any replacement sheet c report.)	ontaining	g such ame	endments mus	t be referred to u	ınder item 1 a	nd annexed	to this
6.	Add	ditional observations, if nece	essary:			: .		18 2	
111.	No	n-establishment of opinio	n with r	egard to n	ovelty, inven	tive step and inc	dustrial appli	cability	
1.	The obv	e questions whether the clai rious), or to be industrially a	med inve pplicable	ention appe have not l	ears to be nov been examine	el, to involve an i	nventive step	(to be non-	. •
1		the entire international app	olication,						
	Ø	claims Nos. 6-14				b 1	•		
		because:				:			
Γ		the said international appli not require an internationa	cation, o I prelimir	r the said c nary examir	laims Nos. rel nation (specify	ate to the followi	ng subject ma	tter which do	es
٥	₫	the description, claims or dunclear that no meaningful	Irawings opinion	<i>(indicate p</i> could be fo	articular elemermed (specify	ents below) or sa	id claims Nos	. 6-14 are so	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
		see separate sheet				We to			
[3	the claims, or said claims No could be formed.	los. are	so inadequ	ately supporte	ed by the descrip	tion that no m	eaningful opi	nion .
]	no international search rep	ort has b	een establ	ished for the s	said claims Nos.			
•	· u	eaningful international preli mino acid sequence listing t uctions:	minary e to comply	xamination y with the s	cannot be ca tandard provi	rried out due to to ded for in Annex	he failure of th C of the Admi	e nucleotide nistrative	and/
	3	the written form has not be	en furnis	hed or doe	s not comply v	with the Standard	le de la companya de La companya de la co	eregist in the	
		the computer readable form							
V. R	leas	soned statement under Ai ions and explanations su	rticle 35	(2) with red	ard to novel	ty, inventive ste		al.applicabil	
1. S	tate	ement							
N	ove	elty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	2,3,5 1,4	: **			
In	ver	ntive step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	2,3,5		,		٠.,
ln	dus	strial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-5	·	;		٠.
2. Ci	itati	ons and explanations				,•			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/00881

see separate sheet

- US-A-5 647 546 (DI), with particular reference to Fig. 1, describes a mixer blade 1. adapted to be mounted on the shaft of a vertical granulating mixer, whereby the leading edge (20) of the blade is bevelled upwards (see e.g. col. 4, Is. 9-10) and an outer portion (36) of the blade is bevelled downwards. Claim 1 therefore appears to lack novelty (Art. 33(2) PCT). These two blade portions are also adjacent, so that claim 4 also appears to lack novelty.
- Claim 1 also appears to lack novelty with respect to US-A-3 024 010 (D2). 2.
- The various blade angles given in claims 2-3 and the parameters of claim 5 are 3. merely design options available to the skilled man when constructing the blade of claim 1. As such, these features cannot establish inventiveness (Art. 33(3) PCT).
- The various definitions of the mixer given in independent claims 6 and 8 make it 4. uncertain as to what aspects of the claimed invention constitute essential features. These claims hence render each other unclear, with the result that the conditions of Art. 6 PCT are not met. Any newly drafted independent claim should rectify this. also ensuring that the claims are drafted with the minimum necessary number of claims in any one category (Rule 6. 1(a)(b) PCT) and with dependent claims as appropriate (Rule 6.4 PCT). The lack of clarity thus arising precludes any assessment of the novelty or inventiveness of these claims and claims which are 1 : 11 it i dependent thereon.
- DI and D2 are not incorporated into the description ((Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT). 5.
- The two-part claim style is not used (Rule 6.3 PCT) using DI as the basis for the 6. prior art portion.
- 7. Technical features in the claims are not followed by reference signs to the drawings (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).
- 8. Wall (6) appears to be incorrectly indicated in Fig. 1.